	***	_		
w	ш	٧.	1	

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(F	CT_Article_36_and	Rule 70)				
(,				
Applicant's or agent's file reference SCB 797 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	OR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
	nternational filing date (day/mon					
	13.11.2003		4.11.2002			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both A61K39/00 Applicant	national classification and IPC		,			
BRACCO IMAGING S:P.A. et al. = 1	en e	en in the Court of	2 oktor dessen seerekelste moeste over herste trees in it is in it is in it.			
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6	sheets, including this cover	r sheet.				
☐ This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
These annexes consist of a total of	sheets.					
3. This report contains indications relati	ing to the following items:	•				
l 🖾 Basis of the opinion						
II 🗆 Priority						
III 🖾 Non-establishment of opi	nion with regard to novelty, i	nventive step and	industrial applicability			
IV Lack of unity of invention						
_ ::==:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI						
VII Certain defects in the international application						
VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand	Date of	completion of this re	eport			
28.05.2004	05.01	2005	ı			
Name and mailing address of the international	Authori	zed Officer				
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office			September Falling .			
D-80298 Munich Greif, G						

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Greif, G

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8659

		ERNATIONAL PR		International_application_No	PCT/EP_03/12699
ł	. в	asis of the report			
•	uı	e receivino Onice in i	lesuunse la an invitation	application (Replacement sheets w under Article 14 are referred to in th not contain amendments (Rules 70	io roport oo llorinin-11 61 111
	De	escription, Pages			
	1-	23	as originally file	ed	
. ••5	, CI	aims, Numbers 🗼	and the first of the street was	aderan ki dinda nazarde ingan iliku iliku iliku ji jenda kaking kila dade ji ge	
	1-2	21	as originally file		18 19 file of the consequence of the contract
2	. Wi lar	ith regard to the lang nguage in which the i	uage, all the elements m	arked above were available or furnis as filed, unless otherwise indicated	shed to this Authority in the under this item.
	Th	ese elements were a	vailable or furnished to th	nis Authority in the following languag	e: , which is:
		the language of a t	ranslation furnished for th	ne purposes of the international sear	ch (under Bule 23.1(b))
				nal application (under Rule 48.3(b)).	··· (aa.)
			ranslation furnished for th	ne purposes of international prelimina	ary examination (under
3	. Wi	th regard to any nucl ernational preliminary	eotide and/or amino aci	id sequence disclosed in the internation of the sequence list	ational application, the sting:
		contained in the into	ernational application in v	written form.	
				on in computer readable form.	
			ently to this Authority in w		
		furnished subseque	ently to this Authority in co	omputer readable form.	
		The statement that in the international a	the subsequently furnishe application as filed has be	ed written sequence listing does not een furnished.	go beyond the disclosure
		The statement that listing has been furn	the information recorded nished.	in computer readable form is identic	al to the written sequence
4.	The	e amendments have i	resulted in the cancellatio	on of:	
٠.		the description,	pages:	wall of the production of the	
		the claims,	Nos.:		
		the drawings,	sheets:		
5.		This report has been been considered to	n established as if (some go beyond the disclosure	of) the amendments had not been near a stiled (Rule 70.2(c)).	nade, since they have
•		•		endments must be referred to under	item 1 and annexed to this
6.	Add	litional observations,	if necessary:		

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

3 3 3 fr e

. . . .

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

_____International application No. PCT/EP 03/12699

11	l. No	n-establishment of opinion	with r	egard to no	velty, inventive step a	nd industrial applicability	
	. The	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire international application,					
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1,2,4-6,8-21 (all in parts)					
	because:						
	. .	the said international application not require an international p	ation, o orelimir	r the said cla	aims Nos. relate to the fation (specify):	ollowing subject matter which does	
	⊠	the description, claims or drain parts) are so unclear that	otion, claims or drawings <i>(indicate particular elements below)</i> or said claims Nos. 1,2,4-6,8-21 (all re so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> :				
		see separate sheet					
		the claims, or said claims No could be formed.	os. are	so inadequa	tely supported by the de	escription that no meaningful opinion	
☐ no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. 1,2,4-6				Nos. 1,2,4-6,8-21 (all in parts)			
2.	 A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions: 					to to the fallows of the state	
		the written form has not been	n furnis	hed or does	not comply with the Sta	andard.	
	the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.						
V.	Rea cita	easoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; tations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Stat	ement					
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	5-11,13-17,20,21 1-6,12,18,19		
	Inve	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21		
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21	Jan Karana K Karana Karana Karan	
2.	Citat	ions and explanations					

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/EP 03/12699

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

- The following wording of claim 1 "tumours that in an individual patient expose on 1. the cell surface only a number n smaller than N of N different altered forms that a given protein or glycoprotein of said tumour type can assume in a population of patients"
 - renders said claims unclear in the sense of Art. 6 PCT, since it would be an undue burden on the expert in the field to determine what types of tumours fall exactly under said definition.
 - Consequently, the definition of the recognition unit in part a. of claim 1 is also unclear, since it depends on n, n being unclear.
 - The same argument applies to claims 19, 20 and 21.
- Claim 2 relates to a large number of possible compounds, namely 2. immunoglobulins or fragments thereof, polypeptides and polysaccharides. The application however provides support within the meaning of Art. 6 PCT and/or disclosure within the meaning of Art. 5 PCT only for a limited number of such compounds. Claim 2 therefore does not comply with Art. 6 PCT.
- Claim 4 is not clear due to the term "fused genes with suitable linker regions". The 3. application does not appear to give any example that would illustrate and support this kind of conjugation between recognition unit and diagnostic signal.
- Claim 11 is not clear, since the term "wherein the unit able to provide a diagnostic 4. signal or therapeutic effect is part of the bond between the recognition molecules of the recognition unit merely describes the goal to be achieved.
- Claims 5 and 6 relate to a great number of possible proteins altered as a result of 5. a variety of mutations, without defining them in any way, whereas the description gives support for a limited number of mutated proteins that are recognized by the claimed recognition molecules. Claims 5 and 6 do not fulfill the requirements of Art. 6 PCT.

Re Item V

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/EP 03/12699

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- Under Rule 66.1(e) PCT, a preliminary examination is not carried out on matter 1. which has not been searched. Therefore, the preliminary examination has been carried out on the whole subject-matter of claims 3 and 7, and on the parts of claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 8-21 that have been searched.
- 2. Reference is made to the following documents:
- D1: WO 91/03493 A
 - D2: EP-A-0 404 097
 - D3: WO 93/11161 A
 - D4: US-B-6 447 7761
 - D5: ARTEAGA DE MURPHY C ET AL: "PHOSPHINE REDUCED IGG: A NEW METHOD FOR 99MTC LABELING IMMUNOGLOBULINS" JOURNAL OF RADIOANALYTICAL AND NUCLEAR CHEMISTRY, ARTICLES, ELSEVIER SEQUOIA S.A., LAUSANNE, CH, vol. 220, no. 1, 1997, pages 41-45,
 - D6: EP-A-0 419 203
 - D7: YASUSHI FUJIOKA ET AL: "Renal metabolism of 3'-iodohippuryl N-maleoyl -L-Lysine (HML)-conjugated Fab fragments" BIOCONJUGATE CHEMISTRY, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY, WASHINGTON, US, vol. 12, no. 2,
 - D8: SAVIRANTA PETRI ET AL: "In vitro enzymatic biotinylation of recombinant Fab fragments through a peptide acceptor tail" BIOCONJUGATE CHEMISTRY, AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY, WASHINGTON, US, vol. 9, no. 6, November 1998 (1998-11), pages 725-735

3. Novelty

D1 discloses trimeric and tetrameric antibodies, including bispecific and trispecific F(ab)3 and F(ab)4 antibodies, which are linked via a o-phenylenedimaleimide. linker. Said antibodies are used for targeting lymphoma cells. Said complex may also contain a pharmacological agent (the whole document). Claims 1-6, 12, 18, and 19 lack novelty over D1.

D2 discloses antibodies against tumors, comprising oligospecific receptors which have oligovalent selectivity to the respective epitopes, whereby the antibodies can consist of immunoglobulins, and comprise a linker. Additionally, the compositions of D2 also refer to the use for treatment and diagnosis of target cells, in the form

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP 03/12699 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

of injection of a ligand which is cytotoxic and can be activated (p. 2, line 1 - p. 3, line 46; examples; claims 1-11). 1 March 20042 anticipates the subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 4-6, 12, 18, and 19.

D3 discloses multivalent antigen binding proteins, used as bispecific antigen-binding molecules, whereby the proteins comprise immunoglobulins such as Fab fragments, and where linkers link the polypeptides. The utilities comprise the guidance of a cytotoxic cell to a cancer cell that should be attacked (claims 1-18; p. 4, line 14 - p. 7, line 31; p. 13, line 8 -.p. 17, line 33). Claims 1-6, 12, 18 and 19 lack novelty over D3.

4. Inventive Step

- 4.1. D4 discloses monoclonal antibodies useful for the detection and therapy of gastric carcinoma, whereby the antibodies are directed against mutated E-cadherin protein, such as the loss of basepairs at exon 8, 9 or 10 (Table 2; column 4, line 66 column 5, line 67; column 8, line 46 column 9, line 58). Claims 7 and 8 are not considered to be inventive over the combination of D4 with D1, since the expert in the field gets a hint from D4 what mutations in cancers are useful targets, and would be prompted to prepare the compositions of D1 accordingly.
- 4.2. D5 and D6 disclose a technetium labelling method for immunoglobulins (the whole document), and the combination of D5 or D6 and D1 renders claims 11, 12, 14, 17, and 18 non-inventive)
- 4.2. D7 discloses radiolabeled antibody fragments for targeted therapy, where radioactive iodine is used to label Fab-fragments (the whole document). Claims 12 and 13 lack inventive step over D1 in combination with D6.
- 4.3. D8 discloses the biotin-avidin linking system in the production of targeted compositions comprising Fab fragments. Thus, claims 9, 10, 20 and 21 are not inventive over D8 in combination with D1.
- 4.4. Claims 15 and 16 are not considered to contain inventive subject-matter, since they disclose mere alternatives to diagnostic signals, that are well known in the art and do not contribute to the solution of the problem.